

Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Mark Tung (SBN 245782)
marktung@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
steven.cherny@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
adam.alper@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF

**CISCO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
TO FILE UNDER SEAL CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION IN CISCO'S
OPPOSITION TO ARISTA'S MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO AMEND RESPONSE TO
ADD COUNTERCLAIMS**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5, Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) respectfully requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
Cisco’s Opposition to Arista’s Motion for Leave to Amend Response to Add Counterclaims	As highlighted in the version filed herewith, portions of pages: 4 and 8.
Exhibit A to the Declaration of Matthew D. Cannon in Support of Cisco’s Opposition to Arista’s Motion for Leave to Amend Response to Add Counterclaims (“Exhibit A”)	Entire.

I. LEGAL STANDARD

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing “establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law”(*i.e.*, is “sealable”). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also “be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material.” *Id.* Generally, materials related to non-dispositive motions may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a “particularized showing” under the “good cause” standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamkana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)). For pleadings attached to a non-dispositive motion, however, this Court has held that the party seeking sealing must provide “compelling reasons” to justify sealing. *See Delphix Corp. v. Actifio, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-04613-BLF, 2014 WL 415520, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2014). “Compelling reasons” exist to seal information that, if disclosed, would damage a party’s ability to compete in the marketplace. *See In re Elec. Arts, Inc.*, 298 F. App’x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008).

II. ARISTA’S DESIGNATED CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Cisco makes this request to seal the documents identified herein for the reasons explained in detail in the Declaration of Matthew D. Cannon in support of this Administrative Motion to File

Under Seal (“Cannon Declaration”). The information sought to be sealed has been directly designated by Defendant Arista Networks, Inc. (“Arista”) as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under the Protective Order (Dkt. 53). Cisco has narrowly tailored its request to seal only the information so designated by Arista as the basis for this request as articulated in the Cannon Declaration.

Cisco expects that Arista will file the required supporting declaration in accordance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(e), as necessary, to confirm that the information contained in the above referenced document should be sealed.

III. CONCLUSION

Concurrently with this Motion, Cisco is filing redacted and sealed versions of the above-referenced documents indicating the specific portions Cisco seeks to seal.

DATED: February 8, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sean S. Pak

Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
Matthew D. Cannon (SBN 252666)
matthewcannon@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Mark Tung (SBN 245782)
marktung@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP

1 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
2 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
3 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
4 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

5 Steven Cherny (*admission pro hac vice*
6 *pending*)
7 steven.cherny@kirkland.com
8 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
9 601 Lexington Avenue
10 New York, New York 10022
11 Telephone: (212) 446-4800
12 Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

13 Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
14 adam.alper@kirkland.com
15 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
16 555 California Street
17 San Francisco, California 94104
18 Telephone: (415) 439-1400
19 Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

20 Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
21 michael.devries@kirkland.com
22 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
23 333 South Hope Street
24 Los Angeles, California 90071
25 Telephone: (213) 680-8400
26 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

27 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.*
28